# Statistics 291: Lecture 4 (February 1, 2024) <br> Geometric and statistical consequences of annealed free energy 

Instructor: Mark Sellke<br>Scribe: Zad Chin

## 1 A Recall on Main Formulas

From the last few classes, we defined the following:
(a) $H_{N, p}(x)=N^{-(p-1) / 2} \sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}=1}^{N} g_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{p}}=N^{-(p-1) / 2}\left\langle G_{N}^{(p)}, x^{\otimes p}\right\rangle$
(b) $Z_{N}(\beta)=\int_{S_{N}} e^{\beta H_{N, p}(x)} d x$
(c) $F_{N}(\beta)=\frac{1}{N} \log Z_{N}(\beta)$
(d) $d \mu_{\beta}(x)=\frac{e^{\beta H_{N, p}(x)}}{Z_{N}(\beta)}, \mu \sim \operatorname{Unif}\left(S_{N}\right)$

## 2 Concentration of Measure (Continued)

Recall that in last lecture, we had the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1. If $F: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is L-Lipshitz and $G \sim \mathscr{N}\left(0, \|_{d}\right)$, then

$$
\mathbb{P}[|F(G)-\mathbb{E} F(G)| \geq \lambda] \leq 2 e^{-\lambda^{2} / 8 L^{2}} .
$$

In particular, the above probability is small once $\lambda \gg L$.
Proof. By smoothing, e.g. using convolution, we may assume $F \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Now, we will use the interpolation method: let

$$
G_{0}, G_{\pi / 2} \sim \mathscr{N}\left(0,0_{d}\right)
$$

be i.i.d. standard gaussians. Consider the "path" from $G_{0}$ to $G_{\pi / 2}$ given by

$$
G_{\theta}=\cos (\theta) G_{0}+\sin (\theta) G_{\pi / 2} .
$$

Let $\tilde{G}_{\theta}:=\frac{d}{d \theta} G_{\theta}=-\sin (\theta) G_{0}+\cos (\theta) G_{\pi / 2}$. By the fundamental theorem of calculus and the chain rule,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(G_{\pi / 2}\right)-F\left(G_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d}{d \theta} F\left(G_{\theta}\right) d \theta=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left\langle\nabla F\left(G_{\theta}\right), \tilde{G}_{\theta}\right\rangle d \theta \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we will finish the proof by applying Jensen's inequality on (1) to bound $\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t\left(F\left(G_{\pi / 2}\right)-F\left(G_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right]$. Observe that for $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t\left(F\left(G_{\pi / 2}\right)-F\left(G_{)}\right)\right)\right]\right. & \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\left\langle\nabla F\left(G_{\theta}\right), \tilde{G_{\theta}}\right)\right] d \theta\right. \\
& \leq e^{t^{2} L^{2}\left(\pi^{2} / 8\right)} \\
& \leq e^{2 t^{2} L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first inequality follows from applying Jensen on $u \mapsto e^{t u}$ and the second inequality follows from the fact that for any $\theta, G_{\theta}, \tilde{G_{\theta}} \sim \mathscr{N}\left(0, n_{d}\right)$ are i.i.d., which implies that for all $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle G_{\theta}, v\right\rangle\left\langle\tilde{G}_{\theta}, w\right\rangle\right]=0
$$

By Jensen again, observe that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-t F\left(G_{0}\right)}\right] \geq e^{-t \mathbb{E}[f(G)]}
$$

which implies that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(t\left(F\left(G_{\pi / 2}\right)-F(G)\right)\right)\right] \leq e^{2 t^{2} L^{2}}
$$

By Markov's inequality, we observe that

$$
\mathbb{P}[F(G)-\mathbb{E} F(G) \geq \lambda] \leq \min _{t \geq 0}\left(e^{2 t^{2} L^{2}-t \lambda}\right)=e^{-\lambda^{2} / 8 L^{2}}, \text { with } t=\lambda / 4 L^{2}
$$

By symmetry, $\mathbb{P}[F(G)-\mathbb{E} F(G) \leq-\lambda] \leq e^{-\lambda^{2} / 8 L^{2}}$. Thus, adding this up we get that

$$
\mathbb{P}[|F(G)-\mathbb{E} F(G)| \geq \lambda] \leq 2 e^{-\lambda^{2} / 8 L^{2}}
$$

which is what we needed to show
Remark. This inequality holds more generally for (1) Unif( $S_{\sqrt{d}}$ ) r.v.s, or (2) r.v.s with log-concave density. For the gaussian density $\exp \left(-\|x\|^{2} / 2\right)$, the Hessian of the log-density $=-\rrbracket_{d}$. If this Hessian has maximum eigenvalues $\leq-c \leq 0$ for some constant $c$, uniformly in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, we will get a similar result to the one above.

## 3 Application (Borell-TIS inequality)

The Borell-TIS inequality is a result that bounds the probability of a deviation of the uniform norm of a centered gaussian stochastic process above its expected value. It is named after Christer Borell and its independent discovers, Boris Tsirelson, Ildar Ibragimov, and Vladimir Sudakov.
Theorem 3.1 (Borell-TIS inequality). Suppose $\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{d}\right)$ is a (possibly non-centered) Gaussian vector and

$$
\max _{1 \leq k \leq d} \operatorname{Var}\left[g_{k}\right] \leq 1
$$

Then,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\max _{k} g_{k}-\mathbb{E} \max _{k} g_{k}\right| \geq \lambda\right] \leq 2 e^{-\lambda^{2} / 8}
$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{g_{k}}=g_{k}-\mathbb{E} g_{k}$. By general principles (or if we follow Harvard's introductory probability class, by definition!), there is a linear function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ so that if $\hat{G} \sim \mathscr{N}\left(0, \rrbracket_{d}\right)$, then

$$
\phi(\hat{G}) \stackrel{d}{=}\left(\tilde{g}_{1}, \cdots, \tilde{g}_{s}\right)
$$

Each $\tilde{g}_{i}=\left\langle\hat{G}, v_{i}\right\rangle$ and $\left\|v_{i}\right\|=\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left[\tilde{g}_{i}\right]}=\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left[g_{i}\right]} \leq 1$. Hence,

$$
\hat{G} \mapsto \max _{k} g_{k}
$$

is 1-Lipshitz. Now we may simply apply concentration of measure and conclude.

In particular, with $d=\infty$, this implies that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\max _{x \in S_{N}} H_{N, p}(x)-\mathbb{E} \max _{x \in S_{N}} H_{N, p}(x)\right| \geq \lambda N\right] \leq 2 e^{-\lambda^{2} N / 8}
$$

## 4 Geometric Information

Theorem 4.1. Let $x, \tilde{x} \sim \mu_{\beta}$ be i.i.d.; then:
(a) If $\beta \leq \beta_{0}$, then

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} R(x, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow 0 \text { in probability. }
$$

(b) If $\beta \geq \beta_{1}$, then the above limit is false.

Remark. We will see later on that this transition corresponds to RSB.
Proof of Theorem 4.1(a). First, we prove (a). Conditioning on $H_{N, p}$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left[|R(x, \tilde{x})| \geq \epsilon \mid H_{N, p}\right]=\frac{\int_{S_{N}} \int_{S_{N}} \exp \left(\beta H_{N, p}(x)+\beta H_{N, p}(\tilde{x})\right) 1_{|R(x, \tilde{x})| \geq \epsilon} d x d \tilde{x}}{Z_{N}(\beta)^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for (4), the numerator is small while the denominator is large.

- For the numerator, we observe that

$$
\frac{1}{N} \log \mathbb{E}[\text { numerator }]=\max _{-1 \leq R \leq 1,|R| \geq \epsilon}\left\{\beta^{2}\left(1+R^{p}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1-R^{2}\right)\right\} \leq \beta^{2}-\eta, \text { where } \eta=\eta(\beta, \epsilon)>0
$$

- For the denominator, observe that $Z_{N}(\beta)^{2}=\exp \left(\beta^{2} N+o(N)\right)$, and if we fix $\epsilon$ and $\beta$, we can, for instance, get that $Z_{N}(\beta)^{2}=\exp \left(\beta^{2} N+\eta / 10\right)$, where $\eta$ is defined above.

By Markov's inequality on the numerator, with high probability, we conclude that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[|R(x, \tilde{x})| \geq \epsilon \mid H_{N, p}\right] \leq e^{-\eta N / 2}
$$

This proof of (a) works for all $p$, but it lacks motivation and requires the second moment method to work. As such, we will now work our way towards an alternative proof.

Theorem 4.2. For any $N, \beta$, let $x, \tilde{x} \sim \mu_{\beta}$ be i.i.d.; then,

$$
\frac{d}{d \beta} \mathbb{E} F_{N}(\beta)=\beta\left(1-\mathbb{E} R(x, \tilde{x})^{p}\right)
$$

Note that this requires $G_{N}^{(p)}$ to be gaussian and the expectation to be taken over all the randomness.
Proposition 4.3. Note that with Theorem 4.2, and also assuming we can commute $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{d}{d \beta}$, we can informally prove Theorem 4.1 as follows:
(a) To prove (a), note that when $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\frac{d}{d \beta} \mathbb{E} F_{N}(\beta) \approx \frac{d}{d \beta}\left(\beta^{2} / 2\right)=\beta=\beta\left(1-\mathbb{E} R(x, \tilde{x})^{p}\right)
$$

so $\mathbb{E} R(x, \tilde{x})^{p} \rightarrow 0$. This will work if $p$ is even.
(b) To prove (b), observe that $\mathbb{E} F_{N}(\beta) \leq c \beta$, so

$$
\frac{d}{d \beta} \mathbb{E} F_{N}(\beta) \leq c,
$$

so $\mathbb{E} R(x, \tilde{x})^{p}=1-\mathscr{O}(1 / \beta)$.
Note that if $N \gg \beta \gg 1$, then $R(x, \tilde{x}) \approx 1$ if $p$ is odd and $|R(x, \tilde{x})| \approx 1$ if $p$ is even.
Proof of Theorem 4.2. For fixed $H_{N, p}$, observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d \beta} F_{N}(\beta) & =\frac{1}{N} \frac{d}{d \beta} \log \int_{S_{N}} e^{\beta H_{N, p}(x)} d x \\
& =\frac{\int_{S_{N}} H_{N, p}(x) e^{\beta H_{N, p}(x)} d x}{N Z_{N}(\beta)} \\
& =\sum_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}=1}^{N} g_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}\left(\frac{\int_{S_{N}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{p}} e^{\beta H_{N, p}(x)} d x}{N^{(p+1) / 2} Z_{N}(\beta)}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

We use gaussian integration by parts now.
Lemma 4.4. Let $G \sim \mathscr{N}\left(0, \|_{d}\right)$ and $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have 2 bounded derivatives. Then, for $1 \leq j \leq d$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[g_{j} f(G)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\delta_{g_{i}} f(G)\right]
$$

Proof. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[g_{j} f(G)\right] & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(G) g_{j} e^{-\sum_{k} g_{k}^{2} / 2} d G \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \delta g_{j} f(G) e^{\sum_{k} g_{k}^{2} / 2} d G \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\delta_{g_{i}} f(G)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last line comes from integration by parts.
Substituting Lemma 4.4 and adding expectations to (4) above, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \frac{d}{d \beta} F_{N}(\beta) & =\mathbb{E} N^{-(p+1) / 2} \sum_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}=1}^{N} \frac{d}{d g_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}}\left(\frac{\int_{S_{N}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{p}} e^{\beta H_{N, p}(x)} d x}{\int_{S_{N}} e^{\beta H_{N, p}(x)} d x}\right) \\
& =\frac{\beta}{N^{p}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}=1}^{N} \frac{\int_{S_{N}} x_{i_{1}}^{2} \cdots x_{i_{p}}^{2} e^{\beta H_{N, p}(x)} d x}{Z_{N}(\beta)}-\left(\frac{\int_{S_{N}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{p}} e^{\beta H_{N, p}(x)} d x}{\int_{S_{N}} e^{\beta H_{N, p}(x)} d x}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\beta\left(1-\mathbb{E} R(x, \tilde{x})^{p}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last line is from the fact that in the second inequality, the first team evaluates to

$$
\sum_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p}=1}^{N} \frac{\int_{S_{N}} x_{i_{1}}^{2} \cdots x_{i_{p}}^{2} e^{\beta H_{N, p}(x)} d x}{Z_{N}(\beta)}=\sum_{i_{1}, \cdots i_{p}=1}^{N} x_{i_{1}}^{2} \cdots x_{i_{p}}^{2}=\|x\|^{2 p}=N^{p}
$$

and the second term evaluates to

$$
\left(\frac{\int_{S_{N}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{p}} e^{\beta H_{N, p}(x)} d x}{\int_{S_{N}} e^{\beta H_{N, p}(x)} d x}\right)^{2}=\frac{\int_{S_{N}} \int_{S_{N}} x_{i_{1}} \tilde{x_{i_{1}}} \cdots x_{i_{p}} \tilde{x_{i_{p}}} e^{\beta H_{N, p}(x)+H_{N, p}(\tilde{x})} d x d \tilde{x}}{Z_{N}(\beta)^{2}}=\mathbb{E}\left[\langle x, \tilde{x}\rangle^{p} \mid H_{N, p}\right]
$$

Combining both terms yields $\mathbb{E} \frac{d}{d \beta} F_{N}(\beta)=\beta\left(1-\mathbb{E} R(x, \tilde{x})^{p}\right)$.

To make Proposition 4.3 more formal, we will need to use the two following results.
Proposition 4.5. $F_{N}(\beta)$ is convex in $\beta$ (for any $H_{N, p}$ ).
Proof. This is a homework problem. A hint is to use Holder's inequality.
Proposition 4.6. Suppose $f_{N}(\beta) \rightarrow f(\beta)$ is a pointwise convergence of convex functions, and that $f_{N}(\beta)$ is smooth for all $N$. If $f^{\prime}(\hat{\beta})$ exists (and it is continuous in $\hat{\beta}$ ), then

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} f_{N}^{\prime}(\hat{\beta})=f^{\prime}(\hat{\beta})
$$

For our earlier proof, we can thus take $f_{N}=\mathbb{E} F_{N}$.
Proof. This is just a sketch of the proof. For fixed $\epsilon$, take $N$ large enough so that

$$
\left|f_{N}(\beta)-f(\beta)\right| \leq \epsilon^{2} \text { for } \beta \in\{\hat{\beta}-\epsilon, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\beta}+\epsilon\}
$$

By convexity,

$$
\frac{f(\hat{\beta})-f(\hat{\beta}-\epsilon)}{\epsilon}-\epsilon \leq \frac{f_{N}(\hat{\beta})-f_{N}(\hat{\beta}-\epsilon)}{\epsilon} \leq f_{N}^{\prime}(\hat{\beta}) \leq \frac{f_{N}(\hat{\beta}+\epsilon)-f_{N}(\hat{\beta})}{\epsilon} \leq \frac{f(\hat{\beta}+\epsilon)-f(\hat{\beta})}{\epsilon}+\epsilon .
$$

For $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ (and for correspondingly large $N \geq N_{0}(\epsilon)$ ), these upper and lower bounds converge to $f^{\prime}(\hat{\beta})$.
In the small $\beta$ case ( $\beta \leq \beta_{0}$ ),

$$
f(\beta)=\beta^{2} / 2
$$

For large $\beta$, by convexity,

$$
\frac{d}{d \beta} \mathbb{E} F_{N}(\beta) \leq\left(\frac{\mathbb{E} F_{N}(2 \beta)-\mathbb{E} F_{N}(\beta)}{\beta}\right)=3 c \leq O(1), \text { where } c \text { is a constant. }
$$

## 5 On Tensor PCA with Weak Signal

For tensor PCA, the hyperparameter is the signal strength $\lambda$ instead of $\beta$, and we will consider

$$
\hat{H}_{N}(x)=H_{N}(x)+\lambda N R(x, \sigma)^{p}
$$

and the posterior $\hat{\mu}_{\lambda}$ for $\hat{H}_{N}(x)$. Here, the free energy is still $\lambda^{2} / 2$ for small $\lambda$. For the lower bound, we can restrict to the nearly "orthogonal band"

$$
\left\{x \left|\left\lvert\, R\left(x, \sigma \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{1}{N^{10}}\right.\right\}\right.\right.\right.
$$

and re-run the second moment method. For the upper bound, integrating with respect to the overlap with the sigmal gives a first moment

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \mathbb{E} \hat{Z}_{N}(\lambda)=\max _{-1 \leq R \leq 1}\left(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}+\lambda^{2} R^{p}+\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1-R^{2}\right)\right)
$$

maximized at $R=0$ for small $\lambda$. The conclusion is that $\hat{\mu}_{\lambda}$ concentrates near $\sigma^{\perp}$, since by Markov the free energy on $\{x|\mid R(x, \sigma) \geq \epsilon\}$ is at most

$$
\max _{|R| \geq \epsilon}\left(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}+\lambda^{2} R^{p}+\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1-R^{2}\right)\right)
$$

which is strictly smaller than the free energy on the entire sphere.

